UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/671,591	09/29/2003	Shin Koike	. 243161US0	9971
	7590 07/19/200 AK, MCCLELLAND,	EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE S7	TREET	METZMAIER, DANIEL S		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1712		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/19/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/671,591	KOIKE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Daniel S. Metzmaier	1712		

•	Daniel S.	Metzmaier	1712	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the	cover sheet with th	e correspondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED 06 July 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same ving replies tice of App ce with 37 (day as filing a Notice : (1) an amendment, eal (with appeal fee) CFR 1.114. The reply	of Appeal. To avoid aba affidavit, or other evides in compliance with 37 C	nce, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	dvisory Actionate than SIX	on, or (2) the date set for MONTHS from the ma	illing date of the final reject	ion.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and shortened start than three r	the corresponding amo	unt of the fee. The approprioriginally set in the final Off	riate extension fee ice action: or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion there	of (37 CFR 41.37(e))	. to avoid dismissal of the	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further con (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belon (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet 	nsideration w);	and/or search (see I	NOTE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a on NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1			rejected claims.	
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 	:	•		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-4,6-11,14-16 and 19-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	⊠ will not vided belov	be entered, or b) 🗍	will be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient	reasons why the affi	davit or other evidence is	s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome a	I rejections under an	peal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the sta	tus of the claims afte	r entry is below or attacl	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NO	place the applicatio	n in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08	3) Paper No(s)	Danuel	Met
			Daniel S. Metzmaie Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1712	er ()

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 3. NOTE: the amendemnt would raise a new issue since the previous claim 4, which is characterized as incorporated into claim 1, refers to the particle size of the "foamable oil-in-water type emulsion" rather than the "foamed oil-in-water type emulsion". The difference is not insignificant since the particle diameter is measured by a laser diffraction, which would clearly be different for a "foamable" versus a "foamed" emulsion since the gas of the foam would diffract the laser light. The specification clearly refers to the particle size of a "foamable oil-in-water type emulsion". See at least original claim 4. Applicants do not set forth any basis for the amended limitation for a "foamed oil-in-water type emulsion".